

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,977	01/21/2004	Deen Tulshian	CN0821KD	4048	
24265	7590 11/09/2005	EXAMINER			
	G-PLOUGH CORPOR	RAO, DE	RAO, DEEPAK R		
	PARTMENT (K-6-1, 1 OPING HILL ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	TH, NJ 07033-0530	1624			

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/761,97	77	TULSHIAN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Deepak R	ao	1624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and witatute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0)6 September 2	005.				
2a)□							
3)□	, —			osecution as to the	e merits is		
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims	·					
·		in the annlicatio	nn				
	Claim(s) 3,4,7,8,21 and 22 \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \lefta \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>3,4,7,8,21 and 22</u> 6 /are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	aguirement	•			
ا ال	are subject to restriction ar	Id/OF CICCUOTITE	squirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on September 6, 2005.

Claims 3-4, 7-8 and 21-22 are pending in this application.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections:

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

The following rejections are under new grounds:

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 21-22, 3-4 and 7-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,254. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims substantially overlap the reference claims. The reference claims are drawn to a compound of formula I (see claim 1, col. 23) and the corresponding combination (see claim 12) and a method of treating cough using the combination (see claim 15). The instant claims differ by reciting a larger genus which encompasses the reference subgenus. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the reference subgenus because he would have expected any of the analogs of the reference compound would have the same properties and therefore, the same use as taught for the reference genus as a whole.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/761,977

Art Unit: 1624

Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deepak Rao

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624

November 8, 2005